Interrupts, Spin Locks, and Preemption

W4118 Operating Systems I

columbia-os.github.io

Interrupts

- Hardware interrupts
 - asynchronous
 - e.g. network packet arrival, timer, key press, mouse click
- Exceptions/Faults
 - synchronous
 - e.g. dividing by zero, page fault
- Software interrupts
 - synchronous
 - x86 assembly int: raise software interrupt
 - o e.g. syscall (int 0x80), debugger

Kernel Execution: Process Context

- System calls execute kernel code on behalf of a process
- Operations may sleep:
 - Sleeping requires the associated task_struct to be placed on a wait queue and have schedule() called to switch to another task
- One kernel stack for each process

Kernel Execution: Interrupt Context

- Interrupt handlers run in interrupt
- Operations cannot sleep execution does not have an associated task and therefore can't interact with the wait queue and schedule()
 - e.g. kmalloc(),copy_to/from_user() may trigger I/O which causes the caller to sleep until the I/O is satisfied. Can't be called from interrupt context
- All handlers share one interrupt stack per processor:
 - i.e., not the kernel stack of the interrupted task

Interrupt Handling

Key Idea: Defer most work for later

- Only time-critical work should be dealt with in the handler so that we can return to the interrupted task ASAP. Push remainder of the work to "bottom half"
 - Several kernel mechanisms available to execute some work at a later time (e.g., softirgs, tasklets, kernel threads)
- Single interrupt will not nest, so handler need not be reentrant
 - o ... but the handler can be interrupted by a different interrupt

Interrupt Handling Examples

1. Network Packet Arrival

- Top Half: acknowledge packet arrival, move packets from NIC to memory, prepare device for further packet arrival
- Bottom Half: propagate packets through kernel networking stack, e.g.,
 TCP/IP processing
- 2. Real Time Clock Interrupt (older, simple version)

Mutual Exclusion

- semaphore
- pthread_mutex

```
pthread_mutex_lock(&balance_lock);
++balance;
pthread_mutex_unlock(&balance_lock);
```

These are **sleeping** locks. The calling task is put to sleep while it waits for the critical section to become available.

Is this always a good idea when waiting?

Spin Lock

Instead of sleeping until the critical section is free, spin locks poll the critical section until it is free.

High-level idea

```
lock() polls until flag == 0
then sets flag == 1
unlock() sets flag == 0
```

```
int flag = 0;
lock() {
   while (flag == 1)
    flag = 1;
unlock() {
    flag = 0;
```

Any issues?

Spin Lock: Race Condition

Task 1

```
int flag = 0;
lock() {
  while (flag == 1)
    flag = 1;
unlock() {
    flag = 0;
```

Task 2

```
int flag = 0;
lock() {
  while (flag == 1)
    flag = 1;
unlock() {
    flag = 0;
```

Spin Lock: Race Condition

Task 1

```
int flag = 0;
lock() {
   while (flag == 1)
   flag = 1;
unlock() {
    flag = 0;
```

Task 2

```
int flag = 0;
lock() {
    while (flag == 1)
   \rightarrow flag = 1;
unlock() {
     flag = 0;
```

Spin Lock

Instead of sleeping until the critical section is free, spin locks poll the critical section until it is free.

High-level idea

```
lock() polls until flag == 0
then sets flag == 1
unlock() sets flag == 0
Non-atomic test & set
leads to mutual exclusion
violation
```

```
int flag = 0;
lock() {
    while (flag == 1)
    // This gap between testing and setting the variable
    // creates a race condition!
    flag = 1;
unlock() {
    flag = 0;
```

Spin Lock

Instead of sleeping until the critical section is free, spin locks poll the critical section until it is free.

High-level idea

```
lock() polls until flag == 0
then sets flag == 1
unlock() sets flag == 0
Correct implementation needs
atomic test_and_set hardware
instruction
```

```
int flag = 0;
lock() {
    while(test_and_set(&flag))
unlock() {
    flag = 0;
```

Atomic Test and Set

In C pseudocode, test_and_set hardware instruction looks like:

```
int test_and_set(int *lock) {
   int old = *lock;
   *lock = 1;
   return old;
}
```

Linux Kernel Spin Locks I

- spin_lock() / spin_unlock()
 - keep the critical sections as small as possible
 - must not lose CPU while holding a spin lock
 - other threads will wait for the lock for a long time
 - must NOT call any function that can potentially sleep
 - e.g., kmalloc(), copy from user()
 - o spin lock() prevents kernel preemption by ++preempt count
 - in a uniprocessor, that's all spin_lock() does
 - hardware interrupt is ok unless the interrupt handler may try to lock this spin lock
 - spin lock is not recursive: same thread locking twice will deadlock

Linux Kernel Spin Locks II

- spin_lock_irqsave() / spin_unlock_irqrestore()
 - save current interrupt state, disable all interrupts on local CPU, lock, unlock, restore interrupts to how they were before
 - need to use this version if the lock is something that an interrupt handler may try to acquire
 - no need to worry about interrupts on other CPUs spin lock will work normally
 - no need to spin in uniprocessor just ++preempt count & disable irq
- spin_lock_irq() / spin_unlock_irq()
 - disable & enable irq assuming it was enabled to begin with
 - should not be used in most cases

When to use?

- spin lock() / spin unlock()
 - Used when code nevers needs to protect against interrupt-level concurrency on the same CPU, e.g.:
 - the lock is only used by process context
 - the lock is only used by a single interrupt (this holds because a single interrupt does not nest)
- spin_lock_irqsave() / spin_unlock_irqrestore()
 - Used when an interrupt handler on the same CPU can attempt to acquire the lock while it is held, e.g.:
 - the lock is used by process and interrupt contexts
 - the lock is used by more than one interrupts

Spinning vs. Sleeping Lock

- Sleeping lock incurs cost of context-switch to put caller to sleep
- Spinning lock consumes CPU time by polling
- In interrupt context can only use spin locks
- Can't sleep while holding spin lock

Preemption

Sometimes the kernel needs to forcefully reclaim the CPU. It track a per-process **TIF_NEED_RESCHED** flag. If set, preemption occurs by calling **schedule()** in the following cases:

- Returning to user space:
 - a. from a system call
 - b. from an interrupt handler
- 2. Returning to kernel from an interrupt handler, only if preempt_count is zero
- 3. preempt_count just became zero, right after spin_unlock(), for example
- 4. Task running in kernel mode calls schedule() itself e.g., blocking syscall